

October 30, 2000

Michael D. Shelby, Ph.D.
Director, Center for the Evaluation of Risks to Human Reproduction
The National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
National Toxicology Program
B3-09
P.O. Box 12233
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2233

Dear Dr. Shelby:

We are writing to express our concern that key conclusions in CERHR's Expert Panel Report on Phthalates are fundamentally flawed in light of the recent revelation that human exposures to one of the phthalates reviewed by the panel, dibutyl phthalate (DBP), are higher than anticipated, particularly in those most vulnerable to its effects, women of childbearing age.

We commend the Expert Panel for its thorough analysis, but we are troubled that the report, as published, is missing new, critical exposure information on DBP. If not amended, the Expert Panel report will begin the formal public discussion of phthalate risk from a conclusion about exposure, particularly for women of childbearing age, that was known to be in error more than one month before the document was posted on the web for public comment.

The report, released for public comment on October 10, 2000, states "All estimates place total DBP exposure in the general population at less than 10 ug/kg bw/day." Data from CDC published more than one month before the Panel report was posted on the web showed the Panel's presumption of low exposures to be a substantial underestimate of the true high end of exposures, where risks are greatest. If more accurate data had been used, the Panel would have had difficulty concluding that high-end DBP exposures were essentially safe.

As noted, more than one month before the Panel report was posted for public comment, research published by the CDC, and a subsequent analysis by CDC and NIEHS, show that "the maximal value indicate that some individual exposures are substantially higher than previously estimated for the general population", and that high exposures in women of childbearing age are approximately five times greater than the highest exposures in the rest of the

population. The NIEHS and CDC analysis, published in the October 2000 issue of Environmental Health Perspectives, now gives the high end of exposures for women of childbearing age, among a population of 289 people, as 113 ug/kg bw/day – an order of magnitude higher than the Panel assumed in forming their conclusion that DBP exposures are of minimal concern.

We ask that you amend the document as posted on the web, at a minimum to acknowledge the fact that women with high exposures to DBP were not considered, but optimally to provide a full consideration of this vulnerable, highly-exposed population. Without these changes, the public debate on phthalate risks will begin from a scientifically unsound starting point.

We appreciate the complexity of the task set before the Expert Panel as they attempted to categorize risk to human reproduction and development armed with only limited exposure data. But leaving the current Panel report as the point of departure for public comment of phthalate risks, unfairly biases the discussion in favor of lower exposure scenarios that we now know are wrong for perhaps millions of women of childbearing age.

Thank you very much for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Richard Wiles

Vice President for Research

Jane Houlihan

Senior Analyst